

UCRL- 96898
PREPRINT

Application of a Two Fluid Theoretical
Plasma Transport Model on Current Tokamak
Reactor Designs

Erfan Ibrahim
T. Kenneth Fowler

CIRCULATION COPY
SUBJECT TO RECALL
IN TWO WEEKS

American Nuclear Society

June 1987

Lawrence
Livermore
National
Laboratory

This is a preprint of a paper intended for publication in a journal or proceedings. Since changes may be made before publication, this preprint is made available with the understanding that it will not be cited or reproduced without the permission of the author.

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial products, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

APPLICATION OF A TWO FLUID THEORETICAL PLASMA TRANSPORT MODEL ON
CURRENT TOKAMAK REACTOR DESIGNS*

Erfan Ibrahim and T. K. Fowler
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, University of California
Livermore, CA 94550

The TIBER II study began in 1985 to determine the physical parameters and cost of the minimum-size tokamak device suitable as an Engineering Test Reactor for the magnetic fusion program [1].

The TIBER II design is based on the empirical scaling law for the plasma energy confinement time known as Kaye-Goldston scaling [2]. This empirical scaling law comes from trends observed on tokamaks with auxiliary heating. In these experiments the electron temperature was typically a few keV, whereas the TIBER II reactor is designed for the much higher electron temperatures needed for efficient steady-state current drive (nominally 25 keV average). As no experiment has yet achieved electron temperatures above 6 to 7 keV, the validity of the Kaye-Goldston scaling law at the high electron temperatures needed for TIBER II remains an open question.

Lacking experimental guidance, it is necessary to turn to theory. Recently, theoretical transport models have been developed that give some support to the empirical trends. These models, based on microinstabilities, do show unfavorable scaling with electron temperature but they also resemble the Kaye-Goldston scaling used in the TIBER II design.

In this work, we apply the new theoretical transport models to TIBER II design calculations and also compare the results with recent experimental data in large tokamaks (TFTR, JET). We follow the approach of Tang [3]. However, we extend his method to a two-fluid model treating ions and electrons separately. This allows for different ion and electron temperatures, as in recent low-density experiments in TFTR, and in the TIBER II design itself.

*Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract W-7405-Eng-48.

DESCRIPTION OF WORK

The work is divided into two parts: (1) Development of the theoretical transport model and (2) calibration against experiments and application to TIBER II.

In part 1, a two-fluid transport model is developed where the electron channel is dominated by trapped electron modes [4] and the ion channel allows both neoclassical processes and the η_1 mode [5]. Coupling between channels is by Coulomb collisions.

A weakness in the microinstability-based models is their apparent failure to predict temperature profiles in detail while the global energy confinement time is fairly well represented. Following Tang, we sidestep this issue by assuming the principle of profile consistency and use Tang's prescription to average the heat flow equations over the "consistent" profiles (gaussian in shape). Solving the equations thus averaged determines the magnitudes of the ion and electron temperatures as a function of input parameters, and from these, the global energy confinement time. The theoretical results are then cast in the form of scaling laws by means of regression analysis similar to that by which the Kaye-Goldston scaling was derived from experimental data.

In part 2, results are compared with recent experiments, and the energy confinement time predicted for TIBER II parameters is compared with TIBER II requirements. Particular attention is given to scaling with electron temperature, rewritten in terms of the power required to maintain that temperature. We also note the sensitivity of results to the assumed radial profiles, which appears as a dependence on the safety factor q_a at the edge (since the consistent profile depends on q_a).

SIGNIFICANCE OF RESULTS

Compared to earlier Engineering Test Reactor designs such as INTOR, the smaller TIBER II design offers significant cost reductions if the underlying assumptions are valid. It is hoped that the present work will shed light on the assumptions about confinement and suggest further experiments and calculations that would increase confidence in extrapolating present experimental results to the reactor regime. Results to date suggest that the scaling with electron temperature (or power) is not more unfavorable than that given by the Kaye-Goldston formula already in use in the TIBER II design work. Numerical results are found to be somewhat sensitive to details of the radial profile.

REFERENCES

1. C. D. Henning, B. G. Logan, TIBER II Tokamak Ignition/Burn Experimental Reactor, 1986 Status Report, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA, UCID-20863.
2. R. J. Goldston, Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion, Vol. 26 (1984), 87.
3. W. M. Tang, Microinstability Based Model for Anomalous Thermal Confinement in Tokamaks, Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, NJ, PPPL-2311 (1986).
4. R. B. White, R. J. Goldston, K. McGuire, A. H. Boozer, D. A. Monticello, and W. Park, Physics of Fluids 26 (1983), 2958.

5. G. S. Lee and P. H. Diamond, Theory of Ion Temperature Gradient Driven Turbulence in Tokamaks, University of Texas, Austin, TX, IFSR-209 (1986).